

BRACKNELL FOREST COMPOSITE RESPONSE

- **Bracknell Forest and Wokingham have an unfairly large allocation of the extra houses that are planned**
- **Building large numbers of houses runs counter to the Plan strategies on climate change, transportation, sustainability, natural resources and quality of life**
- **Building more houses will not improve their affordability**
- **Already have water shortages**
- **Pressure on electric power and energy sources**
- **More houses, more cars, more pollution; will worsen global warming**
- **Large companies like Dell and HP are downsizing so will be insufficient jobs**
- **Traffic already congested; no planned rail improvements so will not be lessened**
- **Inadequate health provision**
- **Schools are full**
- **Lack of landfill facilities for waste**
- **Fear that economic concerns will override environmental concerns such as the Thames Basin Heaths SPAs**
- **Area is adjacent to Thames Basin Heaths SPAs and more houses will impact badly on wildlife**
- **Area contains Thames Basin Heaths SPA, greenbelt and crown land so forces development to Warfield area and imposes more pressure to build at too high densities or on greenfield sites**
- **Build more houses on the derelict industrial land of other regions not on the gardens of the South East**
- **No more houses until research completed into building carbon neutral houses**
- **Houses in Warfield cheaper than surrounding areas so new houses will attract people who will commute to other areas to work and increase traffic congestion throughout the area**
- **Plan itself too complicated in structure and language for members of public to engage with**